

# Modeling a planar sheath in dust-containing plasmas

T. H. Chung<sup>a)</sup>

Department of Physics, Dong-A University, Busan 604-714, South Korea

(Received 8 October 2013; accepted 17 December 2013; published online 8 January 2014)

One-dimensional fluid model is utilized to describe the sheath at a dust-containing plasma-wall boundary. The model equations are solved on the scale of the electron Debye length. The spatial distributions of electric potential and of the velocities and densities of charged species are calculated in a wide range of control parameters. The dust charge number, electric force, and ion drag force are also investigated. The impacts of Havnes parameter, the electron to ion temperature ratio, the ion collisionality, and the ionization on the spatial distributions of the plasma species and the incident fluxes of the ions to the wall (or to the probe) are investigated. With increase of Havnes parameter, the sheath thickness and the ion flux to the wall are reduced, whereas the ion drift velocity is increased. Enhanced ion thermal motion causes the ion flux to the wall to increase. An increase in ion collisionality with neutrals causes both the sheath thickness is found to decrease and the ion flux collected by a probe increases. The localization of dust particles above the electrode is intensified by the increases in Havnes parameter, the electron to ion temperature ratio, collisionality, and ionization rate. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4861255]

# I. INTRODUCTION

Small particles (dust) with sizes between a few nanometers and a few  $10 \,\mu m$  are formed in planetary rings and comets, and earth space environment,<sup>1,2</sup> in devices for plasma-assisted material processing,<sup>3,4</sup> and in fusion devices<sup>5,6</sup> by plasma-surface interaction processes. Dustcontaining plasmas have been used extensively for many applications of plasma processing. Depending on the application, particle nucleation can be both detrimental or beneficial to the process. In the semiconductor industry, particles are often considered a potential source for "killer defects" which may lead to device yield loss. On the positive side, particles with only a few nanometers in diameter often have novel properties which open up new applications.<sup>7</sup> Though it is not a major problem today, dust is considered a problem that could arise in future long pulse fusion devices.<sup>6</sup> In many plasma applications other than plasma fusion, dust is an unwanted element and attention has been drawn to find techniques for removing it. Development and improvement of dust detection and removal tools are underway.<sup>8-10</sup> Since various forces act on dust particles in plasmas, combination of these may be useful to remove dust particles.

Capacitively coupled rf discharges that are commonly utilized for plasma processing are a good candidate for experimenting dust behavior in the edge plasma of fusion device. The main observations of dust in glow discharges have been distinct cloud formations at the plasma-sheath boundary, near the rf powered electrode.<sup>11–13</sup> Fine dust particles present in a plasma acquire negative charge due to the higher mobility of electrons compared to that of the heavier ions. The negatively charged particles are well confined. They do not impact on the processed substrate since the sum of gravity, ion drag, and thermophoresis acting on them is in general well compensated by the sheath electric confinement force. Some important issues in dusty plasmas include the problem of determining the charge and density of dust particles, the sheath structure, and the spatial distribution of plasma species.<sup>14–26</sup> The ion flux entering the substrate is another important quantity for plasma processing and electrostatic probe diagnostics.

The problem of the plasma-sheath transition is still the subject of numerous recent investigations.<sup>27–29</sup> Recently, there has been a lot of interest in the study of plasma sheath in the presence of dust particles, both regarding fundamental plasma research and technological applications. In plasma processing reactors, negatively charged dust particles appear as contamination to etching, sputtering, and deposition processes. The sheath containing negatively charged dust particles is, therefore, relevant to plasma-wall interaction in various plasma-aided engineering devices including controlled fusion devices.9 The plasma sheath provides the fluxes of ions, radicals, and dust particles to the substrate. Different features and the quality of a plasma-assisted surface processing depend strongly on the characteristics of the plasma sheath. Therefore, the study of plasma sheath with positive ions and charged dust microparticles is of great technological importance in many industrial applications of plasma processing.<sup>14–17</sup>

In this work, we propose a planar sheath model to characterize the behavior of dust particle. In a previous paper,<sup>30</sup> fluid models for collisionless plasmas with cold ions and weakly collisional plasmas with a finite ion temperature were developed for studying the structure of the plasmaplanar probe boundary in low-pressure electronegative discharges. In this study, the model is extended to include the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a)</sup>Electronic address: thchung@dau.ac.kr

effect of dust particles for plasma-plane wall (or probe) boundary. The dust concentration, finite ion temperature, gas pressure, and the ionization frequency affect the sheath formation in dust-containing plasmas. The spatial distributions of electric potential and of the velocities and densities of charged species (ions, electrons, and dust grains) are calculated in front of a negatively biased planar wall (or probe) immersed in dust-containing plasmas. Also, the evolutions of the dust charge number, electric force, and ion drag force in a cathode sheath are calculated in a wide range of control parameters. Especially, the effects of the control parameters (Havnes parameter, ion temperature, collision, and nonneutrality parameter) on the ion flux collected by the probe are investigated.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, the electrostatic sheath model is introduced, the basic fluid equations and assumptions are given, and the numerical method is outlined. Section III describes a simplified model that provides the initial conditions on the velocities of the ions and dust grains entering the sheath. The results of the numerical simulations for the sheath structure and the density and velocity distributions of the charged species and microparticles are presented and discussed in Sec. IV. Finally, the paper is concluded in Sec. V with a summary of the main results and their brief discussion.

# **II. MODEL EQUATIONS**

We consider the plasma of the initial number density  $n_0$  consisting of the hot electrons (temperature  $T_e$ ), and singly charged positive ions (temperature  $T_i$ ). When the dust particles (density  $n_d$ , grain radius a) are immersed in the plasma they typically acquire high negative charge (up to a few thousand electrons) due to the high electron mobility and modify the equilibrium charge quasineutrality condition as<sup>10</sup>

$$n_i - n_e - Z_d n_d = 0, \tag{1}$$

where  $n_i$  and  $n_e$  are the ion and electron densities, and  $Z_d$  is the dust charge number. In this study, the dominant charging mechanism is assumed to be the accumulation of electrons and ions to the surface of the dust grain. Dust particles are assumed to be the spherical particles. Because the ions are heavier than the electrons, the ion current to a dust particle is smaller than the electron current, and the dust particle becomes negatively charged. In laboratory plasmas where secondary emission processes due to radiation absorption and to hot particle impacts are small, the dust particle net charge is negative.<sup>26</sup> The thermal electron current to the particle in the sheath is given by

$$I_e = -\pi a^2 n_e e \left(\frac{8kT_e}{\pi m_e}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(\frac{e\phi_d}{kT_e}\right) \quad (\phi_d < 0), \quad (2)$$

$$I_e = -\pi a^2 n_e e \left(\frac{8kT_e}{\pi m_e}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{e\phi_d}{kT_e}\right) \quad (\phi_d > 0), \quad (3)$$

where *e* is the electron charge, *k* is the Boltzmann constant,  $m_e$  is the mass of the electrons, and  $\phi_d$  is the surface floating

potential of the dust particle ( $\phi_d = -Z_d e/4\pi\varepsilon_0 a$ ). The orbit motion limited (OML) theory can be used to calculate the charging ion currents as

$$I_i = \pi a^2 n_i e \left(\frac{8kT_i}{\pi m_i}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 - \frac{e\phi_d}{kT_i}\right) \quad (\phi_d < 0), \qquad (4)$$

where  $m_i$  is the mass of the ion. For positively charged dust grains ( $\phi_d > 0$ ),  $I_i$  has a dependence of  $\exp(e\phi_d/kT_i)$  instead of  $1 - e\phi_d/kT_i$ .

Requiring a zero net current onto the negatively charged dust particle, Eqs. (2) and (4), and using charge neutrality condition, Eq. (1), we have

$$\sqrt{\frac{m_i T_e}{m_e T_i}} \left( 1 + \frac{4\pi\varepsilon_0 akT_e}{e^2} \frac{n_d}{n_0} \frac{e\phi_d}{kT_e} \right) \exp\left(\frac{e\phi_d}{kT_e}\right) + \frac{e\phi_d}{kT_i} - 1 = 0,$$
(5)

where  $\varepsilon_0$  is the permittivity of the vacuum.

If we introduce the Havnes parameter  $P = (4\pi\varepsilon_0 akT_e/e^2)(n_d/n_0)$ , Eq. (5) gives an equation for the reduced surface floating potential  $\tilde{\phi}_d = Z_d e^2/4\pi\varepsilon_0 akT_e$  as

$$\exp(\tilde{\phi}_d) = \frac{(1 - P\tilde{\phi}_d)\sqrt{\frac{m_i}{m_e}\gamma}}{1 + \gamma\tilde{\phi}_d},\tag{6}$$

where  $\gamma$  defines the temperature ratio  $\gamma = \frac{T_e}{T_i}$ .

We assume that the ion density is unaffected by the presence of the dust component and thus  $n_i = const = n_0$ . Therefore, for a given  $P_0 = (4\pi\epsilon_0 akT_e/e^2)(n_{d0}/n_0)$ , Eq. (6) provides the dust charge (via  $\tilde{\phi}_{d0}$ ) at the plasma bulk region. In order to obtain some physical insight in the theoretical analysis, we introduce a set of reference parameters, typical for plasma processing experiments. The plasma parameters are chosen to be  $n_0 = 1.5 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ,  $T_e = 2 \text{ eV}$ ,  $T_i = 0.2 - 0.02 \text{ eV}$ , and the average particle size  $a = 6 \mu \text{m}$ . We fix the plasma density  $n_0$ ; but keep the Havnes parameter P as a free quantity varying it in a physically meaningful range of dust densities. Taking as a maximal value, the dust number density  $n_{d0} = 1.8 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  gives  $P_{max} = 1$ .

Figure 1 shows the calculated evolution of  $\phi_{d0}$  with  $P_0$  for different  $\gamma$  (= 100, 50, 10) in Ar plasmas. As  $n_{d0}$  is increased, the intergrain spacing decreases, and the average grain charge is also reduced. The evolution of  $\tilde{\phi}_{d0}$  with  $P_0$  has sensitive dependence on  $\gamma$ . As  $\gamma$  decreases, the reduced surface potential increases. This is attributable to increased ion current to the dust particle. However, as  $P_0$  is increased ( $n_{d0}$  is increased), the dependence on  $\gamma$  becomes weak.

We assume the sheath region lies between z = 0 and the wall (the presheath is neglected) with the plasma filling the half space z < 0, where z is the position along the vertical axis, which is in the same direction as gravity.<sup>24</sup> The plasma variables are calculated along the distance from the plasma region to any arbitrary small distance near the planar wall using a set of coupled equations including the steady state fluid equations of continuity and motion for the ion, the dust particle, Poisson equation with Boltzmann electron.<sup>31,32</sup> The



FIG. 1. Calculation of the reduced surface potential ( $\tilde{\phi}_{d0}$ ) as a function of  $P_0$  based on hot ion theory for different  $\gamma$  (= 100, 50, 30).

model equations are developed for a planar geometry with the assumption of one-dimensional motion of charged species towards the wall.

The ions are governed by the continuity and momentum balance equations

$$\frac{d}{dz}(n_i v_i) = \nu_{iz} n_e,\tag{7}$$

$$m_i n_i v_i \frac{dv_i}{dz} = -en_i \frac{d\phi}{dz} - \frac{dp_i}{dz} - m_i n_e v_{iz} v_i - m_i n_i (v_{in} + v_{id}) v_i,$$
(8)

where  $p_i$  and  $v_i$  are the pressure and fluid velocity of the ions,  $\nu_{iz}$  is the ionization frequency,  $\nu_{in}$  and  $\nu_{id}$  are the ion momentum-transfer collision frequencies with neutrals and with dust particles, and  $\phi$  is the electric potential. The third term on the right-hand side of Eq. (8) represents the decrease of fluid momentum as ions are born at rest.<sup>33</sup> The fourth term represents ion drag forces due to collisions with neutrals and dust particles.<sup>24</sup> The  $\nu_{in}$  and  $\nu_{id}$  are written as<sup>10</sup>

$$\nu_{in} = n_n \sigma_{in} \sqrt{\frac{T_i}{m_i}}, \quad \nu_{id} = n_d \sigma_{eff} \sqrt{\frac{T_i}{m_i}}, \tag{9}$$

where  $n_n$  is the neutral number density, and  $\sigma_{in}$  and  $\sigma_{eff}$  are the momentum transfer cross section for ion-neutral collisions and for the ion-dust collisions, respectively. The effective scattering cross section in the ion-dust collisions can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{eff}} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{id}} + \frac{4}{\pi \triangle^2},$$
(10)

where  $\sigma_{id} = (2\sqrt{2\pi}/3)(\tilde{\phi}_d\gamma a)^2\Lambda$  ( $\Lambda$  is the modified Coulomb logarithm) is the scattering cross section for an individual grain and the second term represents a cross section corresponding to the largest admissible impact parameter  $\sim \Delta/2$  ( $\Delta \simeq n_{d0}^{-1/3}$  is the average interparticle distance). Figure 2 represents the evolution of  $\sigma_{eff}$  for dust grains with a radius of 6  $\mu$ m as a function of  $P_0$  for different  $\gamma$ . The  $\sigma_{eff}$ decreases with increasing  $P_0$ . In very diluted dust formations ( $P \rightarrow 0$ ), the  $\sigma_{eff}$  mainly consist of the scattering cross section for an individual isolated grain, while in extremely



FIG. 2. Evolution of the effective scattering cross section ( $\sigma_{eff}$ ) as a function of  $P_0$  for different  $\gamma$ .

dense clouds  $(P \to \infty)$ , the  $\sigma_{eff} \to \pi \Delta^2/4$ .<sup>10</sup> As  $\gamma$  is decreased (i.e.,  $T_i$  is increased for a fixed  $T_e$ ), the cross section becomes lower due to higher thermal motion of ions. It should be noted that  $\nu_{id}$  depends strongly on  $n_d$  and a (thus,  $P_0$ ), and  $\gamma$ .

The Poisson's equation is written as

$$\varepsilon_0 \frac{d^2 \phi}{dz^2} = -e(n_i - n_e) + eZ_d n_d.$$
(11)

We assume that electrons follow the Boltzmann energy distribution

$$n_e = n_{e0} \exp\left(\frac{e\phi}{kT_e}\right),\tag{12}$$

where  $n_{e0}$  is the electron density at the plasma bulk region.

Among the forces acting on the dust microparticles, the electric, gravitational, and ion drag are considered. The particulate trapping is clearly caused by the force balance of the electrostatic potential and the gravity, as well as the ion drag due to the ion streaming to the electrode. Therefore, the equation of motion for a dust particle is written as

$$m_d v_d \frac{dv_d}{dz} = eZ_d \frac{d\phi}{dz} + F_g + F_i, \qquad (13)$$

where  $v_d$  is the velocity of the dust particle,  $F_g(=4\pi a^3 \rho_d g/3)$  is the gravitational force, and  $F_i$  is the ion drag force, which is given by<sup>19</sup>

$$F_{i} = \pi a^{2} n_{i} m_{i} v_{i}^{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2e\phi_{d}}{m_{i} v_{i}^{2}} \right) + \frac{2\pi a^{2} n_{i} (e\phi_{d})^{2} ln\Lambda}{m_{i} v_{i}^{2}}.$$
 (14)

The charge number of a micron size dust particle  $(Z_d)$  in the sheath can be determined by the electron and ion currents striking the particle.

We assume that the dust particle flux is conserved in the sheath as

$$\frac{d}{dz}(n_d v_d) = 0. \tag{15}$$

The variation of plasma variables in the plasma-wall transition region can be characterized with several scale

013701-4 T. H. Chung

lengths.<sup>29</sup> The ionization length or the ion mean free path can be used for observing the variation of plasma variables in the sheath region. On the other hand, the electron Debye length can be used as a scale length for the sheath region since the sheath width extends only a few electron Debye length. The solution of the model equations describes the structure of the sheath region in front of a planar wall. We have the dimensionless functions and parameters

$$\xi = \frac{z}{\lambda_D}, \quad \tilde{n} = \frac{n_i}{n_{e0}}, \quad \tilde{n}_d = \frac{n_d}{n_{e0}}, \quad u = \frac{v_i}{c_s}, \quad u_d = \frac{v_d}{c_{sd}},$$
$$\eta = -\frac{e\phi}{kT_e}, \quad q = \frac{\lambda_D \nu_{iz}}{c_s}, \quad \delta_{in} = \frac{\nu_{in}}{\nu_{iz}}, \quad \delta_{id} = \frac{\nu_{id}}{\nu_{iz}},$$
(16)

where  $c_s$  is the ion acoustic speed (=  $\sqrt{kT_e/m_i}$ ),  $c_{sd}$  is the dust acoustic speed (=  $\sqrt{ZkT_e/m_d}$ ) ( $Z = P_0/(1 - P_0\tilde{\phi}_{d0})$ )  $\tilde{n}_{d0}$ ),<sup>23,24</sup> and  $\lambda_D$  is the electron Debye length. The q, sometimes called the non-neutrality parameter, is a measure of the ionization rate.

The dimensionless equations of ion continuity and momentum balance for the ion, the dust particle, and Poisson's equation are written

$$\frac{d}{d\xi}(\tilde{n}u) = q \, e^{-\eta},\tag{17}$$

$$\left(u - \frac{1}{\gamma u}\right)\frac{du}{d\xi} = \frac{d\eta}{d\xi} - \frac{que^{-\eta}}{\tilde{n}} - \frac{qe^{-\eta}}{\gamma \tilde{n}u} - q(\delta_{in} + \delta_{id})u, \quad (18)$$

$$u_{d} \frac{du_{d}}{d\xi} = \frac{\tilde{n}_{d0}(1 - P_{0}\tilde{\phi}_{d0})}{P_{0}} \left(-Z_{d} \frac{d\eta}{d\xi} + f_{g} + f_{i}\right), \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{d\tilde{n}_d}{d\xi} = -\frac{\tilde{n}_d}{u_d^2} \frac{\tilde{n}_{d0}(1 - P_0\tilde{\phi}_{d0})}{P_0} \left(-Z_d \frac{d\eta}{d\xi} + f_g + f_i\right), \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{d^2\eta}{d\xi^2} = \tilde{n} - e^{-\eta} - Z_d \tilde{n}_d, \qquad (21)$$

where f is the normalized force as

$$f_g = \frac{F_g \lambda_D}{kT_e}, \quad f_i = \frac{F_i \lambda_D}{kT_e}, \tag{22}$$

and the subscript 0 denotes the quantities evaluated at the sheath edge. Integrating Eqs. (17)–(21) with the initial values at  $\xi = 0$ , we obtain  $\tilde{n}, u, u_d, \tilde{n}_d, Z_d, f_i, \eta$  as a function of  $\xi$ . At the sheath edge, it is assumed that the potential and the electric field are approximately zero ( $\eta = 0, d\eta/d\xi = 0$ ). It should be noted that the control parameters in the model equations are  $P_0$ ,  $\delta_{in}$ ,  $\gamma$ , and q. The values of a,  $T_e$ , and  $\tilde{n}_{d0}$  determine  $P_0$ , and Fig. 1 provides  $\tilde{\phi}_{d0}$  (and  $Z_{d0}$ ). From the definition of  $\tilde{P}_0$  and quasi-neutrality condition, the initial values of  $\tilde{n}$  and  $\tilde{n}_d$  are  $1/(1 - P_0\tilde{\phi}_{d0})$  and  $P_0\tilde{\phi}_{d0}/(Z_{d0}(1 - P_0\tilde{\phi}_{d0}))$ , respectively. By this, we can keep  $n_{e0}$  as a constant value for the cases of varying  $P_0$ . The initial values of u and  $u_d$  at the sheath edge should be chosen.

#### **III. SIMPLE MODEL**

For collisionless, continuous, and cold ions, Eqs. (17) and (18) are reduced to

$$\frac{d}{d\xi}(\tilde{n}u) = 0, \quad u\frac{du}{d\xi} = \frac{d\eta}{d\xi}.$$
(23)

Then, normalized ion density and velocity, by integrating Eq. (23), are

$$\tilde{n} = \left(1 + \frac{2\eta}{u_0^2}\right)^{-1/2}, \quad u = \left(u_0^2 + 2\eta\right)^{1/2}.$$
 (24)

The charging ion current to the dust particles, Eq. (4) can be rewritten as

$$I_i = \pi a^2 n_i e v_i \left( 1 - \frac{2e\phi_d}{m_i v_i^2} \right).$$
<sup>(25)</sup>

Combining Eqs. (2), (3), (12), (24), and (25) with the charge equilibrium,  $I_e + I_i = 0$ , we obtain

$$\exp(-\tilde{\phi}_d) = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\pi m_e}{8m_i}} u_0 \left(1 + 2\tilde{\phi}_d / (u_0^2 + 2\eta)\right)}{(1 - P\tilde{\phi}_d)} \exp(\eta). \quad (26)$$

Then, using the boundary condition,  $\eta = 0$  at  $\xi = 0$ , we can get

$$\exp(\tilde{\phi}_{d0}) = \frac{(1 - P_0 \phi_{d0})}{\sqrt{\frac{\pi m_e}{8m_i}} \left(u_0 + 2\tilde{\phi}_{d0}/u_0\right)}.$$
 (27)

This equation provides the relation between the dust surface potential and ion Mach number  $u_0$ , as well as the relation between  $\tilde{\phi}_{d0}$  and ratio of the dust particle density to the positive density at the interface,  $P_0$ .<sup>23</sup> Figure 3 represents the calculated evolution of  $\tilde{\phi}_{d0}$  with  $P_0$  for different  $u_0$  (= 1.0, 1.5, 2.0) in Ar plasmas. The calculated values of  $\tilde{\phi}_{d0}$  by the cold ion theory are larger than those from the hot ion theory (Fig. 1). This can be explained by the fact that for cold ions with Mach number larger than 1, the balance of electron and ion flow to the dust particle is achieved with a higher surface



FIG. 3. Calculation of the reduced surface potential ( $\phi_{d0}$ ) as a function of  $P_0$  based on cold ion theory for different  $u_0 (= 1.0, 1.5, 2.0)$ .

013701-5 T. H. Chung

floating potential. As  $u_0$  is increased, the reduced surface potential (and the average grain charge) increases, and thus, the intergrain spacing also increases. However, as  $P_0$  is increased ( $n_d$  is increased), the dependence on  $u_0$  becomes negligible.

If we neglect the ion drag and gravitational force, Eq. (13) (and Eq. (19)) becomes

$$u_d \frac{du_d}{d\xi} = -\tilde{\phi}_d \frac{d\eta}{d\xi}.$$
 (28)

Integrating from  $\eta = 0$  to  $\eta$  and setting  $\Psi = -\int_0^{\eta} \tilde{\phi}_d d\eta$ , we can get the dimensionless dust density utilizing Eq. (15)

$$\tilde{N}_d = \frac{n_d}{n_{d0}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 2\Psi/u_{d0}^2}}.$$
(29)

Then, the Poisson's equation, Eq. (21) is written as

$$\frac{d^2\eta}{d\xi^2} = \frac{1}{1 - P_0\tilde{\phi}_{d0}} \left(1 + \frac{2\eta}{u_0^2}\right)^{-1/2} - e^{-\eta} - \frac{P_0\tilde{\phi}_d}{1 - P_0\tilde{\phi}_{d0}} \left(1 + \frac{2\Psi}{u_{d0}^2}\right)^{-1/2}.$$
(30)

Equation (30) can be integrated once by multiplying both sides by  $d\eta/d\xi$ , then we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d\eta}{d\xi}\right)^2 + V(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d\eta}{d\xi}\right)^2_{\xi=0},\tag{31}$$

where  $(d\eta/d\xi)_{\xi=0}^2 \approx 0$  is the weak presheath electric field, and Sagdeev potential is

$$V(\eta) = (1 - e^{-\eta}) + \frac{u_0^2}{1 - P_0 \tilde{\phi}_{d0}} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 + 2\eta/u_0^2} \right) + \frac{P_0 u_{d0}^2}{1 - P_0 \tilde{\phi}_{d0}} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 + 2\Psi/u_{d0}^2} \right).$$
(32)

Analogous to the analysis of a particle in the potential well, it requires the potential  $V(\eta, u_0, u_{d0}) < 0$  in the sheath, which leads to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d^2 V(\eta)}{d\eta^2} \end{pmatrix}_{\eta=0} = -1 + \frac{1}{u_0^2 (1 - P_0 \tilde{\phi}_{d0})} + \frac{P_0 \tilde{\phi}_{d0}^2}{u_{d0}^2 (1 - P_0 \tilde{\phi}_{d0})} \\ + \frac{P_0 (4 \tilde{\phi}_{d0} / u_0^2 - u_0^2 - 2 \tilde{\phi}_{d0})}{(u_0^2 + 2 \tilde{\phi}_{d0} + 2)(1 - P_0 \tilde{\phi}_{d0})} \leq 0.$$
(33)

An analysis of a particle in the Sagdeev potential provides relations between  $P_0$ ,  $u_0$ , and  $u_d$ . The ion critical Mach number  $u_{0 crit}$  depends on  $P_0$  and  $u_d$  as well as the dust charge at z = 0. Figure 4(a) indicates that the ion-entering-sheath-velocity must exceed the ion sound velocity owing to the dust electrostatic drag force that is in -z direction.<sup>23</sup> For small  $P_0$ , the ion critical Mach number increases with  $P_0$ . The further increase of  $P_0$  to a certain level, however, leads



FIG. 4. (a) Ion critical Mach number versus  $P_0$  for different dust Mach numbers  $u_{d0}$  (= 2.5, 3.0, 3.5). (b) Dust critical Mach number versus  $P_0$  for different ion Mach numbers  $u_0$  (= 2.5, 3.0, 3.5).

to a depletion of the electrons and a consequent reduction on the dust surface potential (dust charge) that makes the dust electrostatic drag force become small. Therefore, the ion critical Mach number begins to decrease. It was shown numerically by Liu *et al.*<sup>23</sup> that ion drift speed into the sheath reaches a maximum and decreases afterward with increasing dust density. Also, the smaller the dust Mach number is, the larger the ion critical Mach number will be. This is because that if the ion velocity relative to the dust becomes smaller, then the dust effect on the ion becomes weaker.

Also there exists a critical dust Mach number  $u_{d0}$  for a given condition ( $P_0$  and  $u_0$ ). The relation between the dust critical Mach number and  $P_0$  is exhibited in Fig. 4(b). It is shown that the dust critical Mach number becomes larger first as  $P_0$  increases, then the number begins to decline after a maximum. This is due to the fact that the ion electrostatic drag force on dust particle makes the dust entering sheath velocity larger. With the continuous increase of  $P_0$ , the dust critical number starts to decline. Also we can see, the smaller the ion Mach number is, the relatively larger the dust critical Mach number will be.

# **IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The main focus of this paper is placed on the investigation of the effects of Havnes parameter, the ratio of electron temperature to ion temperature, and collision and ionization rate on the spatial distributions of the electric potential, the velocities and densities of ions and electrons, the velocity and density of dust particles, the dust charge number, the electric force, and the ion drag force along the sheath toward the negatively biased planar wall.

The spatial distributions of  $\tilde{n}$ , u,  $u_d$ ,  $\tilde{n}_d$ ,  $Z_d$ ,  $f_i$ ,  $\eta$  are calculated for various values of  $P_0$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta_{in}$ , and q. For that purpose, Eqs. (17)–(21) are solved numerically by using the fourth-order Runge-Kutta method with the initial conditions. We have chosen the parameter;  $n_0 = 1.5 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ,  $T_e = 2 \text{ eV}$ ,  $a = 6 \mu \text{m}$ ,  $\rho_d = 2 \text{ g/cm}^3$ ,  $u_{d0} = 3$ , and  $u_0 = 1$ .

Figures 5(a) and 5(b) represent the normalized electric potential and the ion velocity along the distance from the plasma ( $\xi = 0$ ) to the wall for three different  $P_0$  (= 0.0001, 0.001, 0.01). Here, q = 0.001,  $\gamma = 100$ , and  $\delta_{in} = 0.2$  are used. It is seen clearly that a simple parabola is an extremely good approximation for the shape of the time averaged electric potential over the entire spatial extent of the sheath.<sup>18</sup> On the basis of energy conservation law (Eq. (24)), the electric potential energy of the ions in the sheath is equal to their kinetic energy, so the normalized electric potential and ion velocity distribution across the sheath are proportional to each other.<sup>27</sup> As  $P_0$  is increased (for instance, as  $n_{d0}$  becomes higher for fixed  $n_0$  and  $T_e$ ), according to formula in Eqs. (9), (10), and (16),  $\delta_{id}$  is increased from 0.53 ( $P_0 = 0.0001$ ) to 2.87  $(P_0 = 0.001)$  and to 9.14  $(P_0 = 0.01)$ . Then, with increase of  $P_0$ , the normalized electric potential and the ion velocity are found to grow rapidly (going from the plasma to the wall), and the sheath thickness becomes narrower. The rapid ion acceleration in the case of higher  $P_0$  is related to a drastic decrease in electric potential along the sheath, which is caused by a stronger imbalance between the ion density and the negative species density in the sheath. These profiles were experimentally verified by Arnas *et al.*<sup>25,26</sup> The sheath modifications deduced from the observed increase in the ion velocity indicate that micron-sized particles whose charge is due to the plasma particles fluxes influence self-consistently the plasma particle distributions in their neighborhood.<sup>26</sup>



FIG. 5. (a) Normalized potential  $(\eta)$ , (b) normalized ion velocity (u), (c) normalized electron density  $(n_d/n_{e0})$ , (d) normalized dust velocity  $(u_d)$ , (e) normalized dust density  $(n_d/n_{d0})$ , (f) dust charge number  $(-Z_d)$ , (g) electric force  $(-Z_d \frac{dn}{d\xi})$ , and (h) ion drag force  $(f_i)$  along the normalized distance for three different  $P_0$  ( $P_0 = 0.0001$ , 0.001, and 0.01). Here, q = 0.001,  $\gamma = 100$ , and  $\delta_{in} = 0.2$ .

Figure 5(c) illustrates the normalized electron density along the distance for different  $P_0$ . It is observed that the electron density falls fast toward the wall and the sheath thickness decreases as  $P_0$  increases. Physically speaking, as  $n_{d0}$  is increased (for fixed  $n_0$  and  $T_e$ ), more electrons attach to the dust particles, so the ion to electron density ratio rises inside the sheath.<sup>17</sup> Therefore, the length of non-neutral region (that is, sheath thickness) decreases with increasing  $P_0$ . A similar phenomenon can happen when  $T_e$  becomes higher for fixed  $n_{d0}$  and  $n_0$ .

In Figures 5(d)-5(f), we have examined the normalized velocity and density of the dust particles, and the dust charge number. We note that away from the sheath edge, the electric force, the gravitational force, and the ion drag force balance and the total force becomes zero. At this point, the dust velocity and its number density reach a local minimum and maximum, respectively.<sup>15</sup> The charge reversal point

corresponds to the position of the maximum dust number density. As  $P_0$  is increased, the charge reversal point shifts towards the sheath edge and the maximum of the trapped dust grains becomes sharp. The position of the charge reversal point is closely related to the curvature of the modeled potential, which is proportional to the electric field at the sheath edge,  $E_s = kT_e/e\lambda_i$  ( $\lambda_i$  is the ion collision mean free path).<sup>18</sup> Thus, the results of the fluid theory qualitatively simulate the trapping of dust grains. To maintain a clean processing situation in plasma reactors, we should have a tool design that avoids traps and dangerous storage of dust grains during plasma process.<sup>16</sup> Dust grain size is a key parameter in dust management and development of dust-free surface processing in low pressure plasma tools. For a fixed condition of  $n_{d0}$ ,  $n_0$ , and  $T_e$ , a decrease in the grain size results in a reduction of  $P_0$ , which leads to a less severe dust grains trap near the electrode. We note from Fig. 5(f) that the



FIG. 6. (a) Normalized potential  $(\eta)$ , (b) normalized ion velocity (u), (c) normalized densities of ions and electrons  $(\tilde{n} \text{ and } n_e/n_{e0})$ , (d) normalized dust velocity  $(u_d)$ , (e) normalized dust density  $(n_d/n_{d0})$ , (f) dust charge number  $(-Z_d)$ , (g) electric force  $(-Z_d \frac{d\eta}{d\xi})$ , and (h) ion drag force  $(f_i)$  along the normalized distance for different  $\gamma$  (=10, 50, 100). Here, q = 0.001,  $P_0 = 0.001$ , and  $\delta_{in} = 0.85$  are used.

dust charge is negative almost throughout the sheath and its absolute value decreases from the sheath edge until it changes sign abruptly somewhere close to the wall and then becomes positive. This is due to the fact that the density of electrons falls faster than that of ions in the sheath. Then, the grain charge is due only to the ion flux, where the electrons vanish and there is a pure ion sheath near the wall.<sup>24</sup>

The electric force and ion drag force corresponding to the three different  $P_0$  are shown in Figs. 5(g) and 5(h). The magnitude of electric force increases with  $P_0$  although the dust charge becomes small. As is obvious from Fig. 5(g), the electric force decelerates the dust particles along the sheath before they reach the charge reversal point and then accelerates them. On the other hand, the strength of the electric field increases with distance from the sheath edge. Therefore, the decelerating electric force increases with the distance and shows a minimum (the largest negative value) before the charge reversal point.<sup>15</sup> However, the ion drag force always accelerates the dust grains and its value decreases from the sheath edge due to decreased ion density, and then increases again close to the wall because of the large ion velocity. At the charge reversal point, the electric force vanishes while the ion drag force reaches a minimum. With  $T_e$ ,  $n_0$ , and  $n_{d0}$  unchanged, an increase in the grain size (*a*) results in increased  $P_0$ . As *a* is increased, the ion drag force near the sheath edge increases since the ion drag force is proportional to the square of a, <sup>16</sup> but the ion drag force falls fast due to a drastic decrease in the ion density.

Figures 6(a) and 6(b) show the profiles of the normalized electric potential and the ion velocity for three different  $\gamma$  (= 10, 50, 100). Here, q = 0.001,  $P_0 = 0.001$ , and  $\delta_{in} = 0.85$ are used. From the ion continuity equations, the ion density is inversely proportional to the ion velocity. So the ion density decreases towards the wall, as the ion velocity increases. As  $\gamma$  is increased (as  $T_i$  becomes lower for a fixed  $T_e$ ), the normalized electric potential and the ion velocity increase



FIG. 7. (a) Normalized potential  $(\eta)$ , (b) normalized ion velocity (u), (c) normalized ion density  $(\tilde{n})$ , (d) normalized dust velocity  $(u_d)$ , (e) normalized dust density  $(n_d/n_{d0})$ , (f) dust charge number  $(-Z_d)$ , (g) electric force  $(-Z_d \frac{du}{d\xi})$ , and (h) ion drag force  $(f_i)$  along the normalized distance for three different  $\delta$  ( $\delta_{in} = 0.85$ , 3.53, and 8.54). Here q = 0.001,  $\gamma = 100$ , and  $P_0 = 0.001$ .

slightly fast (going from the plasma to the wall). Figure 6(c) illustrates the profiles of the normalized densities of ions and electrons along the distance for different  $\gamma$ . It is observed that as  $\gamma$  decreases the densities of ions and electrons decrease slowly toward the wall.

Figures 6(d)-6(f) represent the spatial profiles of the normalized velocity, number density, and charge number of the dust particles. As  $\gamma$  is increased, the charge reversal point shifts towards the sheath edge and the maximum of the trapped dust particle density becomes sharp. In other words, enhanced ion thermal motion makes the trapped dust distribution smoothly peaked and the possibility of trapping dust particles is reduced. The electric force and ion drag force corresponding to the three different  $\gamma$  are shown in Figs. 6(g) and 6(h). It is observed that as  $\gamma$  increases the electric force becomes lower. This can be attributed to the fact that higher  $\gamma$  results in higher electric field strength (higher slope of the normalized

potential shown in Fig. 6(a)) and a rapid depletion of the ion density in the sheath (as shown in Fig. 6(c)).

Figures 7(a) and 7(b) represent the normalized electric potential and the ion velocity along the distance for three different  $\delta_{in}$  (= 0.85, 3.53, 8.54). Here, q = 0.001,  $\gamma = 100$ , and  $P_0 = 0.001$  are used. The  $\delta_{in}$  indicates the ratio of the frequency of momentum transfer collisions with neutrals ( $\nu_{in}$ ) to the ionization frequency. This value defines the collisionality of ions and depends on pressure and  $T_i$  (or  $\gamma$ ) as noted in Eq. (9). With other parameters ( $P_0$ ,  $\gamma$ , and q) unchanged,  $\delta_{in}$  can represent the pressure of plasmas. An increase in  $\delta_{in}$  is found to cause the normalized electric potential and the ion velocity to increase more rapidly (going from the plasma to the wall).<sup>34</sup> If  $\delta_{in}$  is increased for a fixed q (i.e., fixed  $\lambda_D$ ,  $c_s$ , and  $\nu_{iz}$ ), the ion mean free path ( $\lambda_i$ ) decreases, then the electric field at the sheath edge increases and the sheath thickness decreases. In a physical interpretation, an increase of collision induces the decrease of the ion flux to the wall, since the



FIG. 8. (a) Normalized potential  $(\eta)$ , (b) normalized ion velocity (u), (c) normalized densities of ions and electrons  $(\tilde{n} \text{ and } n_c/n_{c0})$ , (d) normalized dust velocity  $(u_d)$ , (e) normalized dust density  $(n_d/n_{d0})$ , (f) dust charge number  $(-Z_d)$ , (g) electric force  $(-Z_d \frac{d\eta}{d\xi})$ , and (h) ion drag force  $(f_i)$  along the normalized distance for three different q (q=0.001, 0.005, and 0.007). Here,  $P_0 = 0.0001, \gamma = 100$ , and  $\delta_{in} = 0.1$ .





Figure 7(c) shows the normalized ion density along the distance for different  $\delta_{in}$ . It is observed that with increasing  $\delta_{in}$  the ion density falls drastically toward the wall and the sheath thickness decreases. Figures 7(d) and 7(e) are the normalized velocity and density of the dust particles. Figure 7(f) shows the dust charge number. As  $\delta_{in}$  is increased, the charge reversal point shifts towards the sheath edge. Under a higher  $\delta_{in}$  condition, the dust particle velocity is steeply slowed down before the charge reversal point but accelerates fast after that point, as well as it exhibits a sharp maximum in the trapped particle density. In Figs. 7(g) and 7(h), we have examined the electric force and ion drag force corresponding to the three different  $\delta_{in}$ . We note that both forces decrease with increasing  $\delta_{in}$ , being attributable to the drastic decrease of the ion density along the sheath.

Next, the effects of q on the sheath have been studied. The profiles of the normalized electric potential and the ion velocity at three different values of q (= 0.001, 0.005, 0.007) are indicated in Figures 8(a) and 8(b). Here,  $\delta_{in} = 0.1$ ,  $\gamma = 100$ , and  $P_0 = 0.0001$  are used. It is seen that as q is increased, the electric potential increases more rapidly, and the sheath thickness decreases. Also, the ion velocity becomes larger with increasing q. An increase in q under a condition with fixed  $P_0$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\delta$  is equivalent to either an increase in  $\lambda_D$  or an increase in  $n_n$  (a decrease in  $\lambda_i$ ), thus an increase in q produces a decrease of the sheath thickness.

Figure 8(c) shows the profiles of the normalized densities of ions and electrons along the distance for different q. It is observed that the densities of ions and electrons decrease rapidly toward the wall with increasing as q, indicating a decrease in the sheath thickness. Figures 8(d)-8(f) are the profiles of the normalized velocity, density, and charge number of the dust particles, respectively. As q is increased, the dust grains are more decelerated first and accelerated fast after the charge reversal point. And the charge number changes rapidly, and the charge reversal point shifts towards the sheath edge and the maximum of the trapped dust particle density becomes sharply peaked. The electric force and ion drag force corresponding to the three different q are shown in Figs. 8(g) and 8(h). It is observed that as q is increased the electric force becomes higher, while the ion drag force becomes lower. This can be explained by the fact that higher q results in higher electric field strength (as shown in Fig. 8(a)) and a rapid depletion of the ion density in the sheath (as shown in Fig. 8(c)).

If the wall is replaced by the Langmuir probe, a point on the curve of the electric potential at the probe position,  $\xi = \xi_p$ , gives the normalized potential  $\eta_p$ . The model provides the probe characteristics of the dimensionless ion flux (current)—normalized voltage by plotting  $\tilde{n}_{\xi_n} u_{\xi_n}$  versus the dimensionless electric potential  $\eta_p$ . Figures 9(a)–9(d) are theoretical I - V curves plotted in this way. Here, we have examined the dependence of the ion flux on  $P_0$ , q,  $\delta_{in}$ , and  $\gamma$ . It is clearly seen that the ion flux increases with q, but decreases with  $P_0$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\delta_{in}$ . When  $P_0$  becomes larger, the ion flux to the probe decreases. The  $P_0$  dependence is attributable to the increased ion collisions with dust grains. As the ions have larger thermal motion, the ion flux collected by the probe increases.<sup>36</sup> Figure 9(c) indicates that as  $\delta_{in}$  is increased, the ion flux to the probe decreases even though the sheath thickness decreases. From Fig. 9(d), it is seen that an increase of q induces the increase of the ion flux collected by the probe because of a larger production of ions by ionization.

### V. CONCLUSION

One-dimensional fluid simulations are used to describe a sheath in dust-containing plasmas. The spatial distributions of electric potential and of the velocities and densities of plasma species are calculated in front of a negatively biased



planar wall. The control parameters are the Havnes parameter  $(P_0)$ , the electron to ion temperature ratio  $(\gamma)$ , the ratio of the rate coefficient for the ion momentum transfer collision to that for the ionization  $(\delta_{in})$ , and the non-neutrality parameter (q). The behavior of the dust charge number, the electric force, the ion drag force, and the ion flux collected by the wall are also investigated as functions of control parameters. We have found that an increase of  $P_0$  induces the decrease of the sheath thickness as well as of the ion flux to the wall, and that with increase of  $P_0$ , the ion drift velocity increased, and the localization of trapped dust particles is intensified. We have shown that enhanced ion thermal motion causes the ion flux to the wall to increase, and the trapped dust distribution to be less sharply peaked. With increase of the ion collision with neutrals, both the sheath thickness and the ion flux to the wall decrease, and the trapped dust distribution becomes sharply peaked. An increase of ionization causes the sheath thickness to decrease and the ion flux collected by a probe to increase, and the trapped dust distribution sharply peaked. Our results are of direct interest to the control and probe diagnostics of dust grains in various dust-containing plasmas.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Helpful discussions with Professor K. S. Chung of Hanyang University and Dr. S. H. Hong of National Fusion Research Institute of Korea are greatly acknowledged. This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (Grant No 20100020085, Fusion Core Research Center Program).

- <sup>1</sup>C. K. Goertz, Rev. Geophys. 27, 271, doi:10.1029/RG027i002p00271 (1989).
   <sup>2</sup>O. Havnes, U. de Angelis, R. Bingham, C. K. Goertz, G. E. Morfill, and V.
- Tsytovich, J. Atmos. Terr. Phys. **52**, 637 (1990).
- <sup>3</sup>G. S. Selwyn, J. Singh, and R. S. Bennett, J. Vac. Sources Technol. A 7, 2758 (1989).
- <sup>4</sup>L. Boufendi and A. Bouchoule, Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. **11**, A211 (2002).

- <sup>5</sup>G. Federici *et al.*, Nucl. Fusion **41**, 1967 (2001).
- <sup>6</sup>J. Winter, Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion **46**, B583 (2004).
- <sup>7</sup>Z. Shen and U. Kortshagen, J. Vac. Sources Technol. A 20, 153 (2002).
- <sup>8</sup>A. Barkan, N. D'Angelo, and R. L. Merlino, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3093 (1994).
- <sup>9</sup>N. C. Adhikary, H. Bailung, A. R. Pal, J. Chutia, and Y. Nakamura, Phys. Plasmas 14, 103705 (2007).
- <sup>10</sup>V. V. Yaroshenko, S. A. Khrapak, and G. E. Morfill, Phys. Plasmas **20**, 043703 (2013).
- <sup>11</sup>A. Bouchoule and L. Boufendi, Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. **2**, 204 (1993).
- <sup>12</sup>A. Bouchoule and L. Boufendi, Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. **3**, 292 (1994).
- <sup>13</sup>T. Nitter, Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. **5**, 93 (1996).
- <sup>14</sup>J.-X. Ma and M. Y. Yu, Plasmas 2, 1343 (1995).
- <sup>15</sup>G. Foroutan, Phys. Plasmas **17**, 123711 (2010).
- <sup>16</sup>G. Foroutan and A. Akhoundi, J. Appl. Phys. **112**, 073301 (2012).
- <sup>17</sup>G. Foroutan and A. Akhoundi, Phys. Plasmas **19**, 103505 (2012).
- <sup>18</sup>E. B. Tomme, B. M. Annaratone, and J. E. Allen, Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 9, 87 (2000).
- <sup>19</sup>D. Wang and X. Wang, J. Appl. Phys. **89**, 3602 (2001).
- <sup>20</sup>Y. N. Nejoh, Phys. Plasmas 8, 3545 (2001).
- <sup>21</sup>M. K. Mahanta and K. S. Goswami, Phys. Plasmas 8, 665 (2001).
- <sup>22</sup>V. N. Tsytovich, S. V. Vladimirov, and S. Benkadda, Phys. Plasmas 6, 2972 (1999).
- <sup>23</sup>J.-Y. Liu, Z.-X. Wang, X. Wang, Q. Zhang, X. Zou, and Y. Zhang, Phys. Plasmas 10, 3507 (2003).
- <sup>24</sup>D. Benlemdjaldi, A. Tahraoui, R. Hugon, and J. Bougdira, Phys. Plasmas 20, 043508 (2013).
- <sup>25</sup>C. Arnas, M. Mikikian, and F. Doveil, Phys. Scr. **T89**, 163 (2001).
- <sup>26</sup>C. Arnas, M. Mikikian, G. Bachet, and F. Doveil, Phys. Plasmas 7, 4418 (2000).
- <sup>27</sup>A. K. Shaw, S. Kar, and K. S. Goswami, Phys. Plasmas **19**, 102108 (2012).
- <sup>28</sup>K. U. Riemann, J. Seebacher, D. D. Tskhakaya Sr., and S. Kuhn, Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion 47, 1949 (2005).
- <sup>29</sup>K. U. Riemann, IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci. 23, 709 (1995).
- <sup>30</sup>T. H. Chung, Phys. Plasmas **16**, 063503 (2009).
- <sup>31</sup>R. N. Franklin, Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 9, 191 (2000).
- <sup>32</sup>T. E. Sheridan, P. Chabert, and R. W. Boswell, Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 8, 457 (1999).
- <sup>33</sup>T. E. Sheridan, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **32**, 1761 (1999).
- <sup>34</sup>Z. Sternovsky, Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. **14**, 32 (2005).
- <sup>35</sup>J. I. Fernandez Palop, J. Ballesteros, M. A. Hernandez, and R. Morales Crespo, Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 16, S76 (2007).
- <sup>36</sup>J. I. Fernandez Palop, J. Ballesteros, M. A. Hernandez, R. Morales Crespo, and S. Borrego del Pino, J. Appl. Phys. 95, 4585 (2004).