Selective Formation of Si Nanocrystals by Assist Ion Beam Irradiation
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The deposition of substoichiometric SiO$_x$ films is investigated by means of dual ion beam deposition with emphasis on assist ion beam irradiation effect. Linear dependence of oxygen content, $x$, on oxygen partial pressure is found. Preferential sputtering of Si phase over SiO$_2$ phase during assist ion beam irradiation has the effect of increasing the oxygen content in the ion-beam exposed region. The property indicates the lateral modulation of oxygen content of SiO$_x$ film by inserting a shadow mask in the assist ion beam during deposition. The area-selective formation of Si nanocrystals is shown by photoluminescence measurements after post-deposition annealing.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Since the first discovery of light emission from porous silicon [1], the interest toward Si-based optoelectronics has rapidly increased. Due to better compatibility with standard ultra-large scale integrated circuit (ULSI) processes, Si nanocrystals in a dielectric matrix have gained much interest [2]. The various attempts at the deposition of SiO$_x$ films include ion implantation [3], chemical vapor deposition (CVD) [4–6], reactive evaporation [7], co-sputtering [8], and ion beam sputtering [9], etc. Annealed SiO$_x$ films yield highly luminescent nanocrystals with large density, regardless of deposition methods. The luminescence has been attributed to the quantum size effect of carriers confined in nanocrystals. The previous methods are not area-selective, and thereby nanocrystals are precipitated in the whole deposited area. However, the area-selective technique for Si nanocrystal formation may offer a processing flexibility in future ULSI processes with nanocrystal light emitting devices.

Weissmantel first used dual ion beam deposition (DIBD) [10] for reactive compound deposition. A DIBD system usually comprises two ion-beam sources. The primary source serves as a sputtering ion source and the other is utilized as an assist ion source. The assist ion beam has been utilized to significantly enhance and control the film properties. The effect of assist ion beam irradiation is the densification of film and the reduction of film stress. This is due to the fact that assist ions preferentially sputter deposits and contaminants with lower bonding energies than that of host material. Recently Lambrinos et al. [11] investigated the electrical properties of SiO$_2$, SiO$_x$N$_y$ and SiN$_x$ films deposited by DIBD. Reactive assist ions of N$_2$ have been used instead of inert ions. Ray et al. have observed the modification of refractive indices of films by varying assist ion energy during SiO$_x$N$_y$ deposition [12]. The possibility of the area-selective control of film properties has been shown by Weissmantel [10]. By shadowing a region of substrate from a nitrogen ion beam, area-selective modification of film properties has been achieved. In this paper, we employ a similar idea, but extend it to the area-selective formation technique of Si nanocrystals by using ion beam irradiation during DIBD.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

SiO$_x$ films were deposited by employing a DIBD system. The DIBD system consists of two Kaufman-type broad-beam ion sources. Ultra-pure Ar gas was used for source gas for ion-beam generation. A primary ion source of 2.5 cm diameter with an incidence angle of 65° served as a sputtering ion source. P-type (100) silicon wafer (resistivity $\sim$10 $\Omega$cm) was used as a sputtering target. The beam energy and beam current of the primary ion source were 750 eV and 7 mA, respectively. An assist ion source of 6 cm diameter with an incidence angle of 37° was used. The beam current of the assist ion source was 10 mA, and the beam energy was a variable parameter ( 0 $\sim$ 200 eV). 10 % Ar-diluted O$_2$ gas was introduced into the deposition chamber for a reactive deposition. The operating pressure was kept at 6 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$ Torr while the partial pressure of O$_2$ gas was...
varied. No intentional heating was applied to the substrate during deposition. SiO$_2$ films were deposited for 2 ~ 4 hours on 3-inch p-type (100) Si wafer (resistivity ~ 10Ωcm) after a standard cleaning sequence to remove organic and inorganic surface contaminants. Deposited SiO$_2$ films were subsequently annealed at 1100 °C for 2 hours in N$_2$ ambient. They were further annealed at 450 °C for 3 hours in a forming gas (N$_2$ 90 %, H$_2$ 10 %) for H$_2$ passivation. Photoluminescence (PL) was excited by a 488-nm Ar$^+$ ion laser (30 mW and ~ 1 mm beam diameter) mechanically chopped at a frequency of 500 Hz. PLs were dispersed by a 0.5 m monochromator (Dongwoo optron DM500). The PL signal was detected by a photomultiplier tube (Hamamatsu R928) by using a standard lock-in technique (Stanford Research SRS10). The observed PL spectra were corrected by using a tungsten-halogen lamp. All measurements were made at room temperature. The cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image was observed by using JEOL JEM-2010 equipment through a standard preparation technique. The bonding configurations in oxide matrix were measured by Fourier-Transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR, Biorad Excalibur FTS-3000). According to Pai et al. [13], Si–O–Si stretching vibration frequency shifts linearly with the oxygen content, $x$, provided that the film is homogeneous. Therefore, the oxygen content, $x$, in SiO$_2$ film is determined from the position of the Si–O–Si stretching vibration frequency.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

First, we grow several SiO$_2$ films (0.56 < $x$ < 1.6) with varying oxygen content by adjusting O$_2$ partial pressure (P(O$_2$)) from 0.6 × 10$^{-5}$ Torr to 1.6 × 10$^{-5}$ Torr. In this case, the assist ion source is not activated. The IR band found at around 1050 ~ 1080 cm$^{-1}$ is attributed to Si–O–Si stretching vibration. Si–O–Si stretching vibration frequency systematically shifts toward higher frequency on increasing P(O$_2$). In addition, narrowing of the width of Si–O–Si stretching vibration is found indicating reduced randomness of Si–O bonding configurations. Then we activate the assist ion beam. Si–O–Si stretching vibration frequency shifts toward higher frequency on increasing the energy of assist ions. Figure 1 shows figure summarizing the variations of oxygen content, $x$, as functions of P(O$_2$) and assist ion energy, respectively. Figure 1(a), the oxygen content is linearly proportional to P(O$_2$). Therefore, DIBD technique is quite reliable in preparing SiO$_2$ films with desired oxygen content. Figure 1(b) shows the superlinear increase of $x$ with ion beam energy and the film grown with P(O$_2$) = 1.4 × 10$^{-5}$ under 200 eV ion beam irradiation is fairly close to stoichiometric SiO$_2$ film.

Microstructure of SiO$_2$ films has been discussed either by the random mixture model (RMM) [14] or by the random bond model (RBM) [15]. As discussed by Lombardo et al. [16] from the detailed X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy study, only a combined model reflecting both models accounts for the true microstructure of SiO$_2$ film. The tendency observed in Figure 1(b) is explained in terms of the preferential sputtering of Si phase due to higher sputtering yield as compared to SiO$_2$ phase when considering RMM as the microstructure of SiO$_2$ film. The other possibility is due to the enhancement of the surface process which increase the rate of oxygen incorporation. However, we observe a significant reduction of film thickness with assist ion beam irradiation. The model of preferential sputtering would be more plausible. After post-deposition annealing, we observe PL emissions for samples in the compositional window of 1.1 ≤ $x$ ≤ 1.4 as shown in Figure 2. The size of Si crystallites critically depends on oxygen content in the film, and exceeds the size of nanocrystals through having appreciable quantum confinement effect for the films with $x < 1.1$. On the other hand, the small optical volume of nanocrystals for the films with $x > 1.4$ is not sufficient to yield reasonable PL intensity. As observed in Figure 2, PLs show a blue shift on increasing $x$. This is due to the stronger quantum confinement effect on decreasing the size of Si nanocrystals. Figure 3 shows a cross-sectional TEM image of several nanocrystals (~ 5-nm diameter) in SiO$_2$$_{26}$ film and supports the idea that observed PL is attributed to Si nanocrystals in the films. The samples with oxygen content $x = 1.4$ when non-irradiated, will not exhibit any ap-
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Preciable PL after ion beam irradiation, because \( x > 1.4 \).

The controllability of \( x \) in SiO\(_x\) films by assist ion beam irradiation can be utilized for area-selective formation of Si nanocrystals. A shadow mask is inserted in the assist ion beam. The mask is grounded to prevent a charging effect. Otherwise, assist ions would be repelled by Coulomb repulsion. A preliminary experiment employs a mask having 3 rectangular holes, and an ion beam with 200-eV ion energy is directed to a 3-inch Si wafer through the holes during DIBD. Figure 4 shows PL spectra recorded from the different parts of the 3-inch SiO\(_x\) sample after the deposition of SiO\(_x\) with the same partial pressure as the SiO\(_{1.4}\) sample in Figure 2. The inset in Figure 4 shows a photograph of the 3-inch SiO\(_x\) sample. Due to the refractive-index alteration by ion-beam irradiation, the mask pattern is clearly discernible to the naked eye. However, the pattern is distorted, and specifically the pattern of the non-irradiated region is narrowed due to the significant spread of assist ions after escaping from the rectangular hole. The PL spectrum from the non-irradiated region shows maximum intensity at 615 nm and is blue-shifted by 115 nm as compared to PL from the SiO\(_{1.4}\) sample shown in Figure 2. The result indicates that the nominally non-irradiated region is actually affected by ion-beam irradiation resulting in an increase of \( x \). The central part of the irradiated region shows no PL, as expected. The transition region between non-irradiated and irradiated regions shows PL centered at 550 nm. The utilization of oxygen ions instead of inert Ar ions for assist ions may result in better area selectivity. However, the high reactivity of oxygen has led to early failure of the tungsten filament of the assist ion source. The sustainable time of the tungsten filament was usually less than an hour and did not allow repeatable investigations.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have investigated the deposition of SiO\(_x\) films (0.56 \( \leq x \leq 1.94 \)) by the DIBD technique. We have shown the advantage of DIBD in preparing SiO\(_x\) films with desired oxygen content, \( x \), by the adjusting oxygen partial pressure. We have observed the intense PL from SiO\(_x\) samples with oxygen content, \( x \), in the narrow range of 1.1 \( \leq x \leq 1.4 \), after post-deposition annealing at 1100 °C. The formation of Si nanocrystals responsible for PL has been confirmed by cross-sectional TEM observation. In addition, we have investigated the assist ion beam irradiation effect during deposition. Preferential sputtering of Si phase during assist ion beam irradiation increases the oxygen content, \( x \), in the ion-beam exposed region. This property was utilized for achieving the area-selective formation of Si nanocrystals by inserting a shadow mask in the assist ion beam during deposition. Though preliminary results show a spread of the ion beam and distortion of the exposed pattern, the technique developed here may offer a process advantage during future ULSI processes when optimized.
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